

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,372	03/31/2004	Harold B. Dreyer	35856/121 5490		
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER		
Edwin V. Merkel			MAYO, TARA L		
Nixon Peabody	LLP				
Clinton Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 31051			3671		
Rochester, NY	14603-1051				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,372	DREYER, HAROLD B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tara L. Mayo	3671		

	Tara L. Mayo	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	126(a) and the announcin	to outonaion for				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	o of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further control to the proposed in the proposed in</li></ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		p.i.a.i.i.v.iii.a.iia.ii.a.ii	(				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) winded below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.500 2005							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations proposed to be added to claim 1 further defining the first and second support systems require further search and consideration.

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600